AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT PETITION NO : 9986 of 2005

Between:

Chapala Chandraiah, S/o. Jayaramaiah,
R/o. Y. Kota, Obsulavaripalli Mandal, Kadapa District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Collector and District Magistrate, Kadapa.
2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Chief Secretary, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3 The Superintendent, Central Prison, Cherlapalli, Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus under Art. 226 of the Constitution of India directing the Respondents to produce the detenu chapala jayaramaiah, now detained in Central Prison, cherlapalli, before this Hon'ble High Court and he may be ordered to be released forthwith after declaring his detention as illegal and void.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.C.PRAVEEN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent No.: THE ADVOCATE GENERAL

The Court made the following :

-

O r d e r: (Per BRSR, J.)

The learned Special Govt. Pleader appearing on behalf of the learned Advocate General made available a copy of the order in G.O.Rt.No.3037 dated 29-4-2005, where under the government having considered the report of the Advisory Board revoked the impugned order of detention passed under Sec. 3(1)(2) read with Sec. 2(a) and 2(g) of A.P. Prevention of Boot Leggers, Dacoits, Drug Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders and Land Grabbers Act, 1986. In such view of the revocation of the very detention order, no further cause survives requiring any adjudication in this writ petition.

Sri C.Praveen Kumar, learned counsel for thepetitioner says that pursuant to the order of the Government in G.O.Rt.No.3037 dated 29-4-2005 revoking the impugned detention order, the detenu has been released.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed without any order as to costs.

_____

B. Sudershan Reddy, J.

6th June, 2005

_____

Ramesh Ranganathan, J.

grr